https://doi.org/10.17221/100/2020-VETMED

## Preparation of artificial vascularised tissue and the indirect determination of its void volume using $\mu CT$

 $Christian \ Seiler^{1*}, \ Matthias \ Luepke^{1}, \ Jan-Peter \ Bach^{2}, \ Hermann \ Seifert^{1}$ 

The authors are fully responsible for both the content and the formal aspects of the electronic supplementary material. No editorial adjustments were made.

## **Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM)**

Table S1. Dependence of the CT number of the fields on the contrast agent

Table S2. Results of the correlation and regression analysis between the CT numbers and the proportion of void volume (PVV) at different contrast agent concentrations (CAC)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institute of General Radiology and Medical Physics, University of Veterinary Medicine Foundation, Hannover, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Small Animal Clinic, University of Veterinary Medicine Foundation, Hannover, Germany

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: christianseiler86@yahoo.de

https://doi.org/10.17221/100/2020-VETMED

Table S1. Dependence of the CT number of the fields on the contrast agent

All fields (26)	CAC0	CAC3		CAC6		CAC9	CAC12	CAC15
Mean CT number <sup>1</sup> (HU)	51.3	56.9		58.3		65.5	65.9	66.6
STD (HU)	5.4	6.0		5.1		4.5	7.0	8.0
<i>P</i> -value <sup>2</sup>	<	0.000 1	0.003		< 0.000 1	0.74	4	0.27
Small proportion of void volu	ıme (13)	CAC0	CAC	3	CAC6	CAC9	CAC1:	2 CAC15
Mean CT number <sup>1</sup> (HU)		49.5	53.7		54.8	62.7	62.3	61.4
STD (HU)		6.3	6.0		4.6	2.3	6.6	6.2
<i>P</i> -value <sup>2</sup>		< 0.00	00 1	0.06	<	0.001	0.85	0.33
High proportion of void volu	me (13)	CAC0	CAC3		CAC6	CAC9	CAC12	CAC15
Mean CT number <sup>1</sup> (HU)		53.0	60.1		61.7	68.2	69.6	71.8
STD (HU)		3.7	4.1		3.0	4.5	5.6	6.1
<i>P</i> -value <sup>2</sup>		< 0.000 1		0.02	< 0.	000 1	0.47	< 0.01

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The CT numbers were averaged over all 26 fields and the 13 fields with the smallest and largest PVV, respectively. <sup>2</sup>A paired *t*-test was used to test for an increase in the CT numbers of the fields compared to the CT number at the next lowest contrast agent concentration (CAC)

STD = standard deviation

Table S2. Results of the correlation and regression analysis between the CT numbers and the proportion of void volume (PVV) at different contrast agent concentrations (CAC)

	CAC0	CAC3	CAC6	CAC9	CAC12	CAC15
Pearson's correlation coefficient	0.41	0.59	0.74	0.57	0.60	0.69
P-value (correlation)	0.036	0.001	< 0.001	0.002	0.001	< 0.001
Regression	48x + 44	77x + 46	82x + 47	56x + 58	91x + 53	119x + 50
Coefficient of determination $R^2$	0.17	0.35	0.55	0.33	0.36	0.47