

## Maternal immunity induced by inactivated *S. Typhimurium* vaccine is less protective to *S. Derby* challenge than to *S. Typhimurium* challenge in suckling piglets

J. GEBAUER<sup>1,2</sup>, A. OSVALDOVA<sup>1,3</sup>, H. KUDLACKOVA<sup>1</sup>, M. MACECKOVA<sup>1,2</sup>, F. SISAK<sup>1</sup>, H. HAVLICKOVA<sup>1</sup>, P. ONDRACKOVA<sup>1</sup>, L. LEVA<sup>1</sup>, M. FALDYNA<sup>1</sup>, J. MATIASOVIC<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Veterinary Research Institute, Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences Brno, Brno, Czech Republic

**ABSTRACT:** *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Derby are the most common serovars of *Salmonella enterica* ssp. *enterica* found in pigs in Europe. We previously observed that suckling piglets of sows vaccinated with an *S. Typhimurium*-based inactivated vaccine are protected against homologous strain challenge. To develop this vaccine for commercial use, potential crossprotectivity of this vaccine to challenge with *S. Derby* was tested. Two sows were vaccinated with an *S. Typhimurium*-based inactivated vaccine while two other sows remained serologically negative. Four-day-old suckling piglets from both groups were orally challenged with *S. Derby* or *S. Typhimurium*. Maternally-derived immunity against *S. Typhimurium* protected piglets against *S. Typhimurium* challenge, when a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) decrease in *S. Typhimurium* count was found in ileocaecal and submandibular lymph node, tonsil, ileum and ileum content. On the other hand, after *S. Derby* challenge, significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) decrease in *S. Derby* count was detected only in ileum content. Although both serovars belong to the same O:4 serogroup, other antigenic structures, for example the flagellin, are different. In a subsequent in-vitro experiment, we found that serum from vaccinated sows inhibited the motility of *S. Typhimurium* but not the motility of *S. Derby*. Our results indicate that protectivity of *S. Typhimurium* vaccine against *S. Derby* infection is limited.

**Keywords:** vaccination; crossprotectivity; antibody

### Abbreviations

**BHI** = brain-heart infusion, **ELISA** = Enzyme-Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay, **LPS** = lipopolysaccharide, **SD** = *Salmonella enterica* serovar Derby, **STM** = *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium

Pigs are the second most important source of *Salmonella* infection for humans in Europe after poultry (Anonymous 2009). One way to decrease a risk of *Salmonella* transmission from pig meat and slaughter products to humans is to decrease the number of *Salmonella*-positive pigs at slaughter. Piglets can be infected soon after birth when the

infected sow or its environment is the infection source (Proux et al. 2001; Boughton et al. 2007). The immunity of newborn piglets could be crucial for eliminating this source of infection. Previously, we demonstrated that vaccination of pregnant sows with experimental inactivated *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (*S. Typhimurium*) vaccine

Supported by the Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic (Project No. QJ1210115) and the MEYS of the Czech Republic under the NPU I program (Project No. LO1218).

induces colostral immunity responsible for the protection of suckling piglets when challenged with a homologous strain (Matiasovic et al. 2013). Because *Salmonella enterica* serovar Derby (S. Derby) and *S. Typhimurium* belong to the same O:4 group (Grimont and Weill 2007), the aim of the study was to test potential crossprotectivity of *S. Typhimurium* vaccine to *S. Derby* challenge.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The animal care protocol and its use in this experiment were approved by the Branch Commission for Animal Welfare of the Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic (MZE1358). Four sows negative for *Salmonella*-specific antibodies, tested with Salmotype pig screen ELISA Kit (Labor Diagnostik, Germany) were used in the experiment. Two sows were vaccinated intramuscularly into the neck with 1 ml of inactivated *S. Typhimurium* vaccine four and two weeks before parturition and developed antibody response to the vaccine. The in-house vaccine was prepared from *S. Typhimurium* DT104 incubated overnight in BHI medium to  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU per ml, inactivated with 1% formaldehyde and mixed with ISA50V2 adjuvant (Seppic, France) (Matiasovic et al. 2013). None of the sows shed *Salmonella* sp. one week before and four days after parturition when checked daily by the ISO 6579:2002 bacterial culture method.

IgG antibodies were measured using Salmotype pig screen ELISA Kit and IgA antibodies with a home-made ELISA based on *S. Typhimurium* O-antigens (Matiasovic et al. 2013). Briefly, Maxisorp plates (Nunc, Denmark) were coated with *S. Typhimurium* LPS (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Serum samples were diluted 100 times and jejunal lavage two times in PBS and applied to coated plates. After incubation, the secondary antibody (Goat anti-pig IgA conjugate, Bethyl Laboratories, USA) conjugated with horseradish peroxidase was added. Subsequently, TMB substrate (Test-line, Czech Republic) was applied and the signal was measured at 450 nm on microplate reader Synergy H1 (Biotek, USA).

Four days after birth, suckling piglets of one vaccinated ( $n = 10$ ) and one serologically negative sow ( $n = 8$ ) were orally inoculated with  $2.4 \times 10^7$  CFU of *S. Typhimurium* (STM challenge group) homologous to the vaccine strain (dose volume 2 ml). Similarly, piglets of two other sows ( $n = 10$  in each group) were

orally inoculated with  $2.6 \times 10^7$  CFU of *S. Derby* (SD challenge group) (Matiasovic et al. 2014). All animal groups were individually housed in barrier pens. All piglets remained with their mothers until euthanized by exsanguination from the arteria brachialis under deep anaesthesia at 72 h post inoculation, i.e. at the end of the experiment when the *Salmonella* load within tissues was anticipated to be maximal (Wood and Rose 1992).

Quantitative bacteriology was performed by plating ten-fold serial dilutions of homogenised organ samples on XLD agar plates. After direct plating, counts of *Salmonella* were logarithmically transformed. Samples negative for *Salmonella* according to the aforementioned method, were subjected to the enrichment in semi-solid Rappaport-Vassiliadis medium (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) for qualitative *Salmonella* determination. Samples positive only after enrichment were taken as a value of one, and a value of zero was assigned to negative samples.

Influence of anti-*S. Typhimurium* antibodies on *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Derby* motility was tested *in vitro* according to Forbes et al. (2008). LB agar (0.3 g of agar per 100 ml, Invitrogen, USA) plates without antibodies or containing  $100 \times$  or  $1000 \times$  diluted heat-inactivated serum from both vaccinated sows were inoculated with 1  $\mu$ l of overnight culture of *S. Typhimurium* or *S. Derby*. The diameter of migrating bacteria was measured in duplicates each hour of 8 h of cultivation. A test was performed four times, twice for serum from each sow. *Salmonella*-specific antibody levels in the serum samples of both sows were 86% and 116% of positive control of Salmotype pig screen ELISA Kit.

The significance of differences among groups for *in vivo* and for *in vitro* experiments was tested by ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni's Multiple Comparison Test. Differences with  $P < 0.05$  were considered significant.

## RESULTS

On Day Two after inoculation, piglets in all groups developed mild diarrhoea. The only clinical difference among groups was a slightly, but significantly higher body temperature at day two after inoculation in piglets from the serologically negative sow ( $39.8^\circ\text{C} \pm 0.3$ ), when compared to their counterparts from the vaccinated sow ( $39.3^\circ\text{C} \pm 0.3$ ) in *S. Typhimurium* challenge group.

doi: 10.17221/8679-VETMED

Table 1. *Salmonella*-specific antibody levels in the blood and jejunal lavage from suckling piglets

Challenge group	IgG DPI 0	IgG DPI 3	IgA DPI 0	IgA DPI 3	IgA DPI 3 jejunum
SD; Ab neg.; <i>n</i> = 10	−0.52 ± 0.37 <sup>a</sup>	−1.08 ± 0.30 <sup>a</sup>	0.20 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.12 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.31 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>
STM; Ab neg.; <i>n</i> = 8	6.41 ± 2.18 <sup>a</sup>	4.20 ± 1.52 <sup>a</sup>	0.25 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.63 ± 0.15 <sup>ac</sup>
SD; vacc.; <i>n</i> = 10	110.70 ± 2.43 <sup>b</sup>	102.70 ± 3.38 <sup>b</sup>	1.92 ± 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	1.07 ± 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	1.51 ± 0.10 <sup>b</sup>
STM; vacc.; <i>n</i> = 10	100.10 ± 1.47 <sup>c</sup>	95.89 ± 1.19 <sup>b</sup>	2.02 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	1.14 ± 0.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.76 ± 0.09 <sup>c</sup>

DPI = day post infection, SD = *S. Derby* challenge, STM = *S. Typhimurium* challenge, Ab neg. = piglets from the sow negative for *Salmonella*-specific antibodies, vacc. = piglets from the vaccinated sow, *n* = number of animals in group

Values are presented as a mean ± SEM. Within a column, values with different superscripts (<sup>a, b, c</sup>) differ significantly (*P* < 0.05)

Piglets from both vaccinated sows had significantly higher amounts of *Salmonella*-specific IgG and IgA antibodies in the blood (Table 1) than piglets from serologically negative sows. Piglets from the vaccinated sow in the SD challenge group had slightly, but significantly higher amounts of IgG at Day 0 post infection (DPI 0) than piglets from the vaccinated sow in the STM challenge group. The levels of specific IgA in jejunal lavage in piglets of the vaccinated sow in the SD challenge group were significantly higher than in piglets from the serologically negative sow, whereas in the STM challenge group, the difference between piglets from vaccinated and serologically negative sows was not significant.

Piglets from the vaccinated sow in the STM challenge group had a significantly lower *Salmonella* Typhimurium count in the ileocaecal lymph node, submandibular lymph node, tonsil, ileum wall and ileum content than piglets from the serologically negative sow (Table 2). The spleen was not colonised in any animal and the liver was positive only after enrichment in two piglets from the serologically negative sow. This difference was not statistically significant.

Piglets from the vaccinated sow in the SD challenge group had a significantly lower *S. Derby* count in ileum content than piglets from the serologically negative sow (Table 2). However, *S. Derby* counts in the ileocaecal lymph node, submandibular lymph node, tonsil and ileum were not significantly different. The spleen and liver were *S. Derby* negative in all animals.

## DISCUSSION

Although piglets from the vaccinated sow challenged with *S. Derby* acquired high levels of anti-*S. Typhimurium* antibodies (even higher than piglets from the vaccinated sow challenged with *S. Typhimurium*), their protection against *S. Derby* infection, measured as a significant decrease in *Salmonella* counts in tissues, was lower than in the *S. Typhimurium* challenge group. This might have been influenced by overall lower colonisation of organs by *S. Derby*, but also by differences in protein sequences between *S. Derby* and *S. Typhimurium*. Although both serovars have the same structure of O-antigens, the sequence of fliC protein, the major component of the flagellum, shows 78% similarity

Table 2. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Derby or Typhimurium counts in tissues

Challenge group	Spleen	Liver	IC LN	SM LN	Tonsil	Ileum wall	Ileum content
SD; Ab neg.; <i>n</i> = 10	0.00	0.00	1.24 ± 0.26	1.75 ± 0.42	0.30 ± 0.15	2.50 ± 0.46	2.49 ± 0.72
SD; vacc.; <i>n</i> = 10	0.00	0.00	0.30 ± 0.15	0.68 ± 0.39	0.10 ± 0.10	2.37 ± 0.56	1.00 ± 0.00*
STM; Ab neg.; <i>n</i> = 8	0.00	0.25 ± 0.16	4.71 ± 0.17	3.90 ± 0.29	2.51 ± 0.40	6.11 ± 0.28	4.94 ± 1.37
STM; vacc.; <i>n</i> = 10	0.00	0.00	1.91 ± 0.47*	1.08 ± 0.42*	0.81 ± 0.50*	4.02 ± 0.27*	2.50 ± 0.22*

SD = *S. Derby* challenge, STM = *S. Typhimurium* challenge, Ab neg. = piglets from the sow negative for *Salmonella*-specific antibodies, vacc. = piglets from the vaccinated sow, IC = ileocaecal, LN = lymph node, SM = submandibular, *n* = number of animals in group

Data for the sampled tissues are presented as a mean ± SEM of log 10 values of *S. Typhimurium* or *S. Derby* CFU/g for each group. Asterisks indicate the significance of differences (*P* < 0.05) between piglets from vaccinated and serologically negative sow within challenge group




Figure 1. Influence of anti-*S. Typhimurium* antibodies on *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Derby* motility. The diameter of *S. Derby* (A) and *S. Typhimurium* (B) bacterial ring was gradually measured in agar plates with different concentrations of antibodies against *S. Typhimurium* (0 × = without serum, 1000 × and 100 × diluted serum) incubated for 8 h. The last hour, motility of *S. Derby* and *S. Typhimurium* was compared (C). Each image represents the average of four separated experiments. \*, # or § represents *P*-value of less than 0.05 that was considered significant. SD = *S. Derby*; STM = *S. Typhimurium*

\* = inhibition of bacterial motility in 1000 × and 100 × diluted serum when compared to plates without serum

§ = difference in motility between 1000 × and 100 × dilution of serum

# = significance between *S. Derby* and *S. Typhimurium* motility on the plates with the same serum dilutions

only to the first 190 amino acids (aa) from about 500 aa forming the whole protein (STM 495 aa, SD 504 aa) when compared by blastp using default settings (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>). In accordance with this finding, the motility test showed that *in vitro* anti-*S. Typhimurium* antibodies significantly reduced the motility of *S. Typhimurium* but not *S. Derby* (Figure 1). In this test, the presence of anti-*S. Typhimurium* antibodies in LB semi-solid agar significantly reduced motility of *S. Typhimurium* from 4 h post inoculation. Under the same conditions the motility of *S. Derby* was not significantly reduced. The motility of both serovars on plates without antibodies was not significantly different. Another important antigenic target for protective antibodies is membrane protein ompD (Gil-Cruz et al. 2009), which has 89% similarity between these two serovars. Different epitopes of *S. Derby* proteins thus may limit the protectivity of antibodies induced by *S. Typhimurium* vaccine.

In the past, an effort was made to test crossprotectivity of *S. Choleraesuis* live attenuated vaccine to *S. Typhimurium* challenge. In a controlled study it was found that live attenuated *S. Choleraesuis* vaccine did not reduce shedding (Letellier et al. 2000), but could stimulate local immunity and reduce the presence of *S. Typhimurium* in the ileum in swine (Letellier et al. 2001). Some field studies found that live attenuated *S. Choleraesuis* vaccine reduced seroprevalence and *Salmonella* isolation in pigs at slaughter (Maes et al. 2001; Schwarz et al. 2011), whereas others, working with a vaccine based on *S. Typhimurium* var. Copenhagen, the crossprotectivity did not observed (Farzan and Friendship 2010).

It has to be taken into account that our data were obtained from a limited number of animals. Nevertheless, it was found that crossprotectivity of antibodies developed after vaccination was reduced between *Salmonella* Typhimurium and Derby serovars.

doi: 10.17221/8679-VETMED

## Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Dr. Ludmila Faldikova (Veterinary Research Institute, Brno, Czech Republic) and Mr. Paul Veater (Bristol, United Kingdom) for proofreading the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous (2009): Analysis of the baseline survey on the prevalence of Salmonella in holdings with breeding pigs in the EU, 2008 – Part A: Salmonella prevalence estimates. *EFSA Journal* 7, 1377.
- Boughton C, Egan J, Kelly G, Markey B, Leonard N (2007): Rapid infection of pigs following exposure to environments contaminated with different levels of Salmonella Typhimurium. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease* 4, 33–40.
- Farzan A, Friendship RM (2010): A clinical field trial to evaluate the efficacy of vaccination in controlling Salmonella infection and the association of Salmonella-shedding and weight gain in pigs. *Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research* 74, 258–263.
- Forbes SJ, Eschmann M, Mantis NJ. (2008): Inhibition of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium motility and entry into epithelial cells by a protective antilipopolysaccharide monoclonal immunoglobulin A antibody. *Infection and Immunity* 76, 4137–4144.
- Gil-Cruz C, Bobat S, Marshall JL, Kingsley RA, Ross EA, Henderson IR, Leyton DL, Coughlan RE, Khan M, Jensen KT, Buckley CD, Dougan G, MacLennan IC, Lopez-Macias C, Cunningham AF (2009): The porin OmpD from nontyphoidal Salmonella is a key target for a protective B1b cell antibody response. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 106, 9803–9808.
- Grimont PAD, Weill FX (2007): Antigenic Formulae of The Salmonella Serovars. 9<sup>th</sup> ed. WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Salmonella, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France.
- Letellier A, Messier S, Lessard L, Quessy S (2000): Assessment of various treatments to reduce carriage of Salmonella in swine. *Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research* 64, 27–31.
- Letellier A, Messier S, Lessard L, Chénier S, Quessy S (2001): Host response to various treatments to reduce Salmonella infections in swine. *Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research* 65, 168–172.
- Maes D, Gibson K, Trigo E, Saszak A, Grass J, Carlson A, Blaha T (2001): Evaluation of cross-protection afforded by a Salmonella Choleraesuis vaccine against Salmonella infections in pigs under field conditions. *Berliner und Munchener tierärztliche Wochenschrift* 114, 339–341.
- Matiasovic J, Kudlackova H, Babickova K, Stepanova H, Volf J, Rychlik I, Babak V, Faldyna M (2013): Impact of maternally-derived antibodies against Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium on the bacterial load in suckling piglets. *The Veterinary Journal* 196, 114–115.
- Matiasovic J, Stepanova H, Kudlackova H, Havlickova H, Sisak F, Rychlik I, Chlebova K, Leva L, Osvaldova A, Gebauer J, Faldyna M (2014): Immune response of pigs to Salmonella enterica serovar Derby and Typhimurium infections. *Veterinary Microbiology* 170, 284–290.
- Proux K, Cariolet R, Fravalo P, Houdayer C, Keranflech A, Madec F (2001): Contamination of pigs by nose-to-nose contact or airborne transmission of Salmonella typhimurium. *Veterinary Research* 32, 591–600.
- Schwarz P, Kich JD, Kolb J, Cardoso M (2011): Use of an avirulent live Salmonella Choleraesuis vaccine to reduce the prevalence of Salmonella carrier pigs at slaughter. *Veterinary Records* 169, 553.
- Wood RL, Rose R (1992): Populations of Salmonella typhimurium in internal organs of experimentally infected carrier swine. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* 53, 653–658.

Received: 2015–10–07

Accepted after corrections: 2015–11–30

## Corresponding Author:

Jan Matiasovic, Veterinary Research Institute, Hudcova 70, 621 00 Brno, Czech Republic  
E-mail: matiasovic@vri.cz