# Apparent total tract digestibility and ileal digestibility of dry matter, nitrogen, energy and amino acids in conventional, *Bacillus subtilis*-fermented and enzyme-treated soybean meal fed to weanling pigs

M.M.  $Hossain^{1,2}$ , M.  $Begum^1$ , J.H.  $Park^1$ , S.J.  $Lee^3$ , K.H.  $Jang^3$ , Y.H.  $Hong^3$ , S.J.  $Cho^3$ , I.H.  $Kim^1$ 

ABSTRACT: Apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD), apparent ileal digestibility (AID) and standardised ileal digestibility (SID) of dry matter, nitrogen, energy and amino acids were evaluated in six weanling barrows [(Landrace × Yorkshire) × Duroc] fed soybean meal diet (SBM), fermented soybean meal A diet with 50% solubility in potassium hydroxide (FSMA), fermented soybean meal B diet with 60% solubility in potassium hydroxide (FSMB), fermented soybean meal C diet with 70% solubility in potassium hydroxide (FSMC), enzyme-treated soybean meal (ETSM) and nitrogen-free diet. Pigs having body weights of 8.99 ± 0.40 kg were surgically equipped with T-cannulas of approximately 15 cm prior to the ileo-cecal junction and randomly allotted to one of five dietary treatments and a nitrogen-free diet in  $6 \times 6$  Latin squares. With regards to the ATTD of essential amino acids, the ATTD of arginine, isoleucine, lysine, methionine and total essential amino acids was greater in pigs fed FSMB, FSMC, and ETSM diets than in SBM and FSMA diets. The ATTD of leucine, phenylalanine, threonine and valine in the FSMC diet was non-significant compared with the values of ATTD in FSMB and ETSM diets. With respect to non-essential amino acids the ATTD of aspartic acid, cysteine, glutamic acid, proline, tyrosine and total amino acids was greater in pigs fed the FSMC diet compared with the SBM diet and not different from the values of pigs fed the ETSM diet. The AID of dry matter, nitrogen and energy in the FSMC diet was greater (P < 0.05) than in pigs fed SBM and FSMA diets but was equal to the values of FSMB and ETSM diets. With regards to the AID of essential amino acids, the AID of histidine, lysine, methionine and threonine was greater in pigs fed FSMB, FSMC and ETSM diets than in SBM and FSMA diets (P < 0.05). The AID of isoleucine was greater in pigs fed FSMB and ETSM diets than the FSMA diet. The AID of arginine, phenylalanine and valine was greater in pigs fed the FSMC diet compared with the SBM diet. With respect to non-essential amino acids the AID of aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glycine, tyrosine and total amino acids was the same as in pigs fed FSMB, FSMC, ETSM diets and greater (P < 0.05) than in pigs fed SBM and FSMA diets. The SID of all essential amino acids in pigs fed the FSMC diet was greater than for the FSMA diet. In the case of non-essential amino acids, the SID of all amino acids except for cysteine was greatest in pigs fed FSMB, FSMC and ETSM treatments. In conclusion, dietary supplementation of FSMB, FSMC and/or ETSM diets can improve the ATTD, AID and SID of dry matter, nitrogen, energy, total amino acids and most of the essential amino acids in weanling pigs.

**Keywords**: growth performance; fermented soybean meal; anti-nutritional factors; antigens; lectins; trypsin inhibitors; *Bacillus subtilis*; T-cannula; surgery

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Resource and Science, Dankook University, Cheonan, Choongnam, Republic of Korea

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>CJ Global Food and Bio Company, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Supported by the Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea (Grant from the Bio-Green 21 Program No. PJ0095692013 and 15G Agenda No. PJ0084942013).

#### List of abbreviations

**AID** = apparent ileal digestibility, **ATTD** = apparent total tract digestibility, **ETSM** = enzyme-treated soybean meal, **FSMA** = fermented soybean meal A diet, **FSMB** = fermented soybean meal B diet, **FSMC** = fermented soybean meal C diet, **SBM** = soybean meal diet, **SID** = standardised ileal digestibility

The inclusion of high-quality protein sources in weaned pig diets is a common practice in the swine industry. Due to the high cost and diseaseassociated risk factors linked with animal protein sources fed to weaned pigs, several studies have been conducted to evaluate alternative plant protein sources (Li et al. 2003). Conventional soybean meal is the most important vegetable protein source fed to pigs because it contains an excellent balance of essential amino acids. However, it also contains anti-nutritional factors such as antigens, lectins, trypsin inhibitors, and oligosaccharides that decrease nutrient availability and affect the growth performance of young pigs (Li et al. 1991; Hong et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2013). Therefore, most processed soy proteins that are widely used as feed are heat-treated (Hancock et al. 1990), extruded (Burnham et al. 2000), purified (Hancock et al. 1989) or defatted (Jones et al. 1989) to decrease the concentrations of anti-nutritional factors. Fermentation and enzyme treatment also reduces the concentration of trypsin inhibitors in soybean meal (SBM), but increases the concentration of crude protein, amino acids and fat (Zhu et al. 1998; Min et al. 2009; Upadhaya and Kim 2015). Weaned pigs provided with diets enhanced with fermented soy protein had higher dry matter, nitrogen and energy digestibility, and exhibited increased average daily gain compared to those that were provided with untreated soybean meal diets (Yoo et al. 2009; Yan et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2014).

Bacillus subtilis-fermented (FSMA, FSMB and FSMC) and enzyme-treated soybean meal (ETSM) were recently introduced to the Korean feed industry and market. These soy products are believed to reduce the concentrations of trypsin inhibitors, oligosaccharides, and other anti-nutritional components that are present in SBM. The objective of this experiment was to evaluate the hypothesis that the apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD), apparent ileal digestibility (AID) and standardised ileal digestibility (SID) of different nutrients were greater through the fermentation by Bacillus subtilis and enzymatic treatment compared to conventional SBM in weanling pigs.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals, experimental design, diets, feedings and housing. All animals received human care as outlined in the Guide for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals (Dankook University, Animal Care Committee). Six [(Duroc × Yorkshire) × Landrace)] weanling barrows with average body weights of  $8.99 \pm 0.40$  kg were surgically equipped with simple T-cannulas approximately 15 cm in front of the ileo-cecal junction according to procedures adapted from Sauer et al. (1983) and were administered one of six dietary treatments in 6 × 6 Latin squares with pigs and periods as the blocking criteria. Dietary treatments included: (1) soybean meal diet, (2) fermented soybean meal A diet with 50% solubility in potassium hydroxide, (3) fermented soybean meal B diet with 60% solubility in potassium hydroxide, (4) fermented soybean meal C diet with 70% solubility in potassium hydroxide, (5) enzyme-treated soybean meal and (6) nitrogen-free diet. Diets were formulated to meet or exceed the requirements suggested by the NRC (2012; Table 1). The pigs were fasted for 16-20 h prior to surgery. Anaesthesia was induced using Stresnil<sup>TM</sup> (Janssen Pharmaceutica, Belgium) and Virbac Zoletil 50 injections (Virbac Laboratory, France). After surgery, pigs were transferred to individual pens  $(1.2 \times 0.6 \text{ m})$  in a temperature-controlled room (28 °C) where they were allowed to recover for 12 days. Pre- and post-operative care was as previously described in Li et al. (1994). The daily feed allowance was  $0.05 \times \text{body weight (kg)}^{0.9}$  as proposed by (Armstrong and Mitchell 1955; Upadhaya and Kim 2015). The daily feed was provided as two meals at 12 h intervals (8:00 h and 20:00 h), and water was provided ad libitum. Chromic oxide (Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 2 g/kg) was added to the diet as an indigestible marker to allow digestibility determinations (Fenton and Fenton 1979). Five diets were formulated to contain each protein ingredient as the only protein and amino acids source. A nitrogen-free diet was also formulated to determine the basal endogenous losses of crude protein and amino acids (Tables 1 and 2).

**Preparation of soybean meal**. The test ingredients consisted of SBM, FSMA, FSMB, FSMC and

Table 1. Ingredient composition of experimental diets (as-fed basis)

I (0/)	Diet								
Ingredients (%)	SBM	FSMA	FSMB	FSMC	ETSM	nitrogen-free			
Soybean meal (48%)	42.00	_	_	_	_	_			
FSMA	_	36.00	_	_	_	_			
FSMB	_	_	36.00	_	_	_			
FSMC	_	_	_	36.00	_	_			
ETSM	_	_	_	_	36.00	_			
Cornstarch	30.11	39.01	39.01	39.01	38.95	75.70			
Soybean oil	4.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	_			
Sugar	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00			
Solka-Floc‡	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00			
Limestone	0.51	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.45	0.60			
Dicalcium phosphate	1.68	1.90	1.90	1.90	1.90	2.00			
Chromic oxide	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20			
Salt	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
Vitamin premix*	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30			
Mineral premix†	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20			
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00			

<sup>\*</sup>Provided per kg of complete diet: 11 025 IU vitamin A, 1103 IU vitamin  $D_3$ , 44 IU vitamin E, 4.4 mg vitamin K, 8.3 mg riboflavin, 50 mg niacin, 4 mg thiamine, 29 mg D-pantothenic, 166 mg choline, 33  $\mu$ g vitamin  $B_{12}$ 

ETSM. The conventional SBM was a commercial source of de-hulled SBM. The fermented soybean proteins evaluated in this study were composed of three types of FSM (FSMA, FSMB and FSMC) fermented by Bacillus subtilis with 50%, 60% and 70% solubility in potassium hydroxide (CJ Global Food and Bio Company, Seoul, Korea). Briefly, dried soybean meals were soaked in distilled water for 60 min to maintain a 35% moisture concentration. Hydrated soybean meals were then cooked in a steam tank at 60-70 °C for 1 h, after which they were cooled to room temperature for 1 h, inoculated with Bacillus subtilis, mixed, and fermented in a bed-packed incubator for 48 h at 37 °C. Finally, the fermented soybean meals were dried at 50–60 °C to a moisture concentration of approximately 10% and then ground in a hammer mill. Potassium hydroxide (KOH) solubility was evaluated according to the method described by (Araba and Dale 1990). ETSM ground soya protein product was provided by the Alltech Co. Korea. ETSM is produced by treating de-hulled SBM with a proprietary mixture of enzymes, which results in a SBM with a reduced concentration of oligosaccharides and anti-nutritional factors compared with conventional SBM (Jiang et al. 2006). Because of the post-treatment heating of ETSM, it is believed that all residual enzymes are inactivated and that no enzymes are active in the finished product.

Experimental and laboratory analysis. The body weight was determined at the start of experimental period. Each experimental period consisted of four days of diet adaptation followed by one day of collection of faeces and one day of collection of ileal digesta, respectively. Faeces were collected from 08:00 h on Day 5 to 08:00 h on Day 6. Ileal digesta were collected for a total of 24 h, from 08:00 h on Day 7 to 08:00 h on Day 8. The pigs were trained to consume their ration in 15 min or less; the amount of feed offered was limited to the feed intake of the pig that consumed the least to ensure that all pigs had the same daily consumption. The AID for dry matter, nitrogen, and energy were determined using Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as an indigestible marker. Pigs were fed diets containing Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for four days prior to the collection day, and fresh fae-

<sup>+</sup>Provided per kg of complete diet: 12 mg Cu (as  $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ ), 85 mg Zn (as  $ZnSO_4$ ), 8 mg Mn (as  $MnO_2$ ), 0.28 mg I (as KI), 0.15 mg Se (as  $Na_2SeO_3 \cdot 5H_2O$ )

<sup>‡</sup>Fibre source

cal samples were obtained once daily from the three piglets on the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> days of the experiment. Ileal digesta were collected in plastic bags tied to the barrel of the cannula. The bags were removed and replaced as soon as they were filled with digesta; no bag remained attached to the cannula longer than 20 min. All the faecal samples, as well as ileal digesta were stored in a freezer at -20 °C pending analysis. Before chemical analysis, faecal samples and ileal digesta were thawed and dried at 70 °C for 72 h and subsequently ground to pass through a 1 mm screen. Samples were analysed for nutrients according to procedures from AOAC (2007). All protein sources were analysed for dry matter (930.15), crude protein (990.03), ether extract (920.39), crude fibre (978.10), calcium (Ca, 968.08), and phosphorous (P, 964.06). Amino acids (excluding tryptophan) were analysed using dansylation (Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullerton, CA) and HPLC after acid hydrolysis for 24 h in 6M HCl. All diets, faecal and ileal digesta samples were also analysed for dry matter, crude protein, and amino acids as described for the ingredients. Chromium levels were determined using UV absorption spectrophotometry (Shimadzu, UV-1201, Kyoto, Japan). Nitrogen was determined using a Kjectec 2300 Nitrogen Analyzer (Foss Tecator AB, Hoeganaes, Sweden). Gross energy was analysed using an oxygen bomb calorimeter (Parr 1600 Instrument Co., Moline, USA). Values for AID and SID of nutrients and amino acids were calculated according to the method described by Stein et al. (2007).

Statistical analysis. Data were analysed using the Proc Mixed procedure (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, USA). Homogeneity of the variances among treatments was confirmed using the Univariate procedure of SAS (2008). An ANOVA was conducted with diet as the fixed effect and pig and period as random effects. There were, however, no effects of pig or period. The pig was the experimental unit for all

Table 2. Analysed nutrient composition (as-fed basis) of experimental diets containing soybean meal (SBM), fermented soybean meal A (FSMA), fermented soybean meal B (FSMB), fermented soybean meal C (FSMC), and enzyme-treated soybean meal (ETSM)

Item (%)			D	iet		
item (%)	SBM	FSMA	FSMB	FSMC	ETSM	nitrogen-free
Dry matter	91.25	92.61	92.65	92.67	92.05	91.89
Crude protein	12.21	13.05	13.12	13.13	11.68	0.34
Essential amino acids						
Arginine	0.85	1.04	1.09	1.08	0.80	_
Histidine	0.35	0.42	0.45	0.51	0.30	_
Isoleucine	0.58	0.78	0.78	0.77	0.55	_
Leucine	0.95	1.25	1.26	1.28	0.89	0.01
Lysine	0.80	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.70	_
Methionine	0.15	0.25	0.24	0.21	0.18	_
Phenylalanine	0.65	0.81	0.81	0.82	0.60	0.01
Threonine	0.45	0.60	0.60	0.62	0.41	_
Valine	0.63	0.85	0.85	0.81	0.60	0.01
Non-essential amino acids	<b>s</b>					
Alanine	0.54	0.73	0.74	0.73	0.52	0.01
Aspartic acid	1.35	1.76	1.76	1.78	1.28	0.01
Cysteine	0.21	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.18	_
Glutamic acid	2.21	2.84	2.86	2.89	2.08	0.01
Glycine	0.52	0.71	0.72	0.72	0.47	_
Proline	0.57	0.75	0.76	0.75	0.55	0.01
Serine	0.52	0.68	0.69	0.69	0.67	_
Tyrosine	0.32	0.47	0.49	0.50	0.31	0.01
Total amino acids	11.65	15.12	15.30	15.38	11.09	0.08

Table 3. Analysed nutrient composition (as-fed basis) of soybean meal (SBM), fermented soybean meal A (FSMA), fermented soybean meal B (FSMB), fermented soybean meal C (FSMC), and enzyme-treated soybean meal (ETSM)

I. (0/)			Diet		
Item (%)	SBM	FSMA	FSMB	FSMC	ETSM
Dry matter	90.33	91.32	91.33	91.32	91.48
Crude protein	45.08	53.75	53.74	53.75	54.42
Ether extract	1.08	0.82	0.81	0.83	1.12
Crude fiber	2.78	3.35	3.36	3.34	3.81
Ca	0.26	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.36
P	0.67	0.82	0.85	0.86	0.75
Essential amino acids					
Arginine	3.05	3.53	3.52	3.56	3.76
Histidine	1.13	1.28	1.28	1.27	1.36
Isoleucine	1.90	2.45	2.46	2.47	2.32
Leucine	3.35	4.08	4.09	4.08	3.56
Lysine	2.76	3.20	3.29	3.28	3.05
Methionine	0.63	0.75	0.71	0.72	0.72
Phenylalanine	2.24	2.72	2.72	2.73	2.75
Threonine	1.72	1.99	1.98	1.99	2.01
Valine	1.95	2.68	2.68	2.68	2.39
Non-essential amino acids					
Alanine	1.86	2.30	2.31	2.32	2.26
Aspartic acid	4.81	5.56	5.58	5.59	5.72
Cysteine	0.68	0.78	0.78	0.74	0.75
Glutamic acid	7.48	8.56	8.59	8.60	8.76
Glycine	1.77	2.24	2.30	2.30	2.25
Proline	2.07	2.46	2.40	2.40	2.46
Serine	1.98	2.25	2.27	2.28	2.35
Tyrosine	1.65	1.97	1.97	1.97	2.03
Total amino acids	41.03	48.80	48.93	48.98	48.50

analyses. Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan 1955) was used to determine significant differences among treatments. Variability in the data was expressed as SEM and a P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## **RESULTS**

# Nutrient composition of ingredients

The analysed nutrient composition of the experimental diets and test ingredients is shown in Tables 2 and 3. The concentration of nutrients and amino acids in fermented soybean meal (FSM; i.e. FSMA, FSMB and FSMC) was similar to the nutrients in ETSM. However, SMB contained lower

concentrations of dry matter, crude fibre, Ca and P than FSM and ETSM. SBM contained the least amount of crude protein and amino acids among all the tested ingredients. The total amino acids in SBM were lower than FSM and ETSM. However, SBM contained higher ether extract compared with FSM.

#### **ATTD**

The ATTD is presented in Table 4. The ATTD for dry matter in FSMC and ETSM diets was greater (P < 0.05) than in pigs fed SBM and FSMA diets but not different from the values obtained for the FSMB diet. The ATTD of nitrogen in the FSMC diet was the same in pigs fed ETSM and FSMB diets but was greater than (P < 0.05) the ATTD of

Table 4. Apparent total tract digestibility of dry matter, nitrogen, energy and amino acids in soybean meal (SBM), fermented soybean meal A (FSMA), fermented soybean meal B (FSMB), fermented soybean meal C (FSMC), and enzyme-treated soybean meal (ETSM) by weanling pigs\*

I. (0/)	Diet						
Item (%)	SBM	FSMA	FSMB	FSMC	ETSM	SEM	<i>P-</i> value
Dry matter	85.84 <sup>bc</sup>	84.24 <sup>c</sup>	87.32 <sup>ab</sup>	89.02ª	88.86ª	1.90	0.027
Nitrogen	$83.43^{b}$	80.02 <sup>c</sup>	87.86 <sup>a</sup>	89.28 <sup>a</sup>	88.84ª	2.03	0.035
Energy	$84.42^{b}$	86.83 <sup>ab</sup>	$87.52^{ab}$	88.19 <sup>a</sup>	87.69 <sup>a</sup>	2.02	0.041
Essential amino acids							
Arginine	86.91 <sup>b</sup>	86.39 <sup>b</sup>	92.93 <sup>a</sup>	94.51 <sup>a</sup>	93.15 <sup>a</sup>	3.14	0.029
Histidine	88.31 <sup>c</sup>	87.37 <sup>c</sup>	90.81 <sup>b</sup>	93.73ª	$91.65^{ab}$	4.85	0.019
Isoleucine	86.73 <sup>b</sup>	$84.85^{c}$	91.92 <sup>a</sup>	92.73 <sup>a</sup>	$92.94^{a}$	3.63	0.029
Leucine	86.57 <sup>b</sup>	$85.50^{b}$	90.29 <sup>a</sup>	$88.20^{ab}$	$88.94^{ab}$	1.10	0.009
Lysine	$87.40^{b}$	85.16 <sup>c</sup>	89.43 <sup>a</sup>	89.22 <sup>a</sup>	89.04ª	2.51	0.005
Methionine	$90.18^{b}$	88.98 <sup>b</sup>	92.63ª	92.71 <sup>a</sup>	92.99ª	2.68	0.032
Phenylalanine	$86.70^{b}$	86.29 <sup>b</sup>	89.01 <sup>a</sup>	89.88ª	88.12 <sup>ab</sup>	0.65	< 0.001
Threonine	$83.32^{bc}$	$82.19^{c}$	85.21 <sup>ab</sup>	87.31 <sup>a</sup>	87.56 <sup>a</sup>	2.89	0.042
Valine	$91.76^{ab}$	89.43 <sup>b</sup>	$92.45^{ab}$	95.80 <sup>a</sup>	$93.01^{ab}$	1.73	0.021
Total	$87.54^{b}$	86.24 <sup>c</sup>	90.52 <sup>a</sup>	91.56 <sup>a</sup>	$90.82^{a}$	2.40	0.008
Non-essential amino acids							
Alanine	$87.07^{bc}$	85.85 <sup>c</sup>	86.93 <sup>bc</sup>	89.73 <sup>ab</sup>	90.52ª	1.04	0.027
Aspartic acid	82.99 <sup>bc</sup>	81.94 <sup>c</sup>	84.03 <sup>ab</sup>	85.59 <sup>a</sup>	$84.40^{ab}$	0.62	< 0.001
Cysteine	$72.83^{b}$	$79.42^{ab}$	82.81 <sup>ab</sup>	85.89 <sup>a</sup>	85.31 <sup>a</sup>	3.61	0.032
Glutamic acid	88.13 <sup>bc</sup>	86.38 <sup>c</sup>	89.98 <sup>ab</sup>	90.66ª	90.78 <sup>a</sup>	0.72	< 0.001
Glycine	87.03	85.68	88.00	89.26	88.18	1.29	0.089
Proline	$85.54^{\rm b}$	85.76 <sup>b</sup>	88.50 <sup>a</sup>	88.59 <sup>a</sup>	87.70 <sup>a</sup>	0.59	0.006
Serine	85.10	84.71	86.63	87.84	86.70	1.02	0.097
Tyrosine	85.80 <sup>c</sup>	87.52 <sup>bc</sup>	$88.74^{ab}$	90.35 <sup>a</sup>	89.36 <sup>ab</sup>	0.87	< 0.001
Total	84.31 <sup>b</sup>	84.66 <sup>b</sup>	86.95 <sup>a</sup>	88.49 <sup>a</sup>	87.87 <sup>a</sup>	3.66	0.045
Total amino acids	85.93 <sup>c</sup>	85.45°	$88.74^{b}$	90.03 <sup>a</sup>	89.35 <sup>ab</sup>	3.39	0.039

 $<sup>^{</sup>a-c}$ Means in the same row with different superscripts differ (P < 0.05)

nitrogen in SBM and FSMA diets. The ATTD of energy in the SBM diet was not different from that in FSMA and in FSMB diets, but this value was less than the ATTD of FSMC and ETSM diets. With regards to the ATTD of essential amino acids, the ATTD of arginine, isoleucine, lysine, methionine and total essential amino acids was greater in pigs fed FSMB, FSMC, and ETSM diets than in those fed SBM and FSMA diets. With the exception of ATTD of histidine in FSMC, the ATTD of leucine, phenylalanine, threonine and valine in the FSMC diet was not different from the values of ATTD in FSMB and ETSM diets. The ATTD of all essential amino acids in the FSMC diet was not different from the ATTD for amino acids in FSMB and ETSM diets

except for the ATTD of histidine which was less in the FSMB diet. With respect to non-essential amino acids the ATTD of aspartic acid, cysteine, glutamic acid, proline, tyrosine and total amino acids was greater in pigs fed the FSMC diet compared with the SBM diet and not different from the values of pigs fed the ETSM diet.

## **AID**

The AID is shown in Table 5. The AID of dry matter, nitrogen and energy in pigs fed the FSMC diet was greater (P < 0.05) than in pigs fed SBM and FSMA diets but was the same as the values of pigs fed FSMB and ETSM diets. With regards to the

<sup>\*</sup>Data are least square means of six observations

Table 5. Apparent ileal digestibility of dry matter, nitrogen, energy and amino acids in soybean meal (SBM), fermented soybean meal A (FSMA), fermented soybean meal B (FSMB), fermented soybean meal C (FSMC), and enzyme-treated soybean meal (ETSM) by weanling pigs\*

I. (0/)	Diet						- n 1
Item (%) —	SBM	FSMA	FSMB	FSMC	ETSM	SEM	<i>P</i> -value
Apparent ileal digestibility							
Dry matter	$78.45^{b}$	$76.53^{b}$	$82.40^{a}$	82.71 <sup>a</sup>	82.59 <sup>a</sup>	2.12	0.035
Nitrogen	$79.33^{b}$	$76.09^{c}$	$82.54^{a}$	$83.24^{a}$	82.95 <sup>a</sup>	2.11	0.027
Energy	$76.77^{b}$	$75.10^{b}$	81.26 <sup>a</sup>	82.27 <sup>a</sup>	81.68 <sup>a</sup>	2.20	0.005
Essential amino acids							
Arginine	$80.04^{b}$	$79.38^{b}$	$83.44^{ab}$	84.41 <sup>a</sup>	$81.02^{ab}$	1.31	0.047
Histidine	$78.39^{b}$	$77.29^{b}$	$80.74^{a}$	80.63 <sup>a</sup>	80.69 <sup>a</sup>	0.52	< 0.001
Isoleucine	$80.62^{bc}$	79.59 <sup>c</sup>	83.73 <sup>a</sup>	$82.76^{ab}$	83.26 <sup>a</sup>	1.76	0.002
Leucine	$80.51^{ab}$	$78.43^{b}$	81.42 <sup>a</sup>	81.48 <sup>a</sup>	80.53 <sup>ab</sup>	0.77	0.049
Lysine	$76.29^{b}$	$74.73^{c}$	78.15 <sup>a</sup>	$78.52^{a}$	77.92 <sup>a</sup>	1.49	< 0.001
Methionine	$82.50^{ab}$	80.39 <sup>b</sup>	84.54 <sup>a</sup>	84.83 <sup>a</sup>	84.64 <sup>a</sup>	0.77	0.001
Phenylalanine	80.83 <sup>b</sup>	79.61 <sup>b</sup>	$82.33^{ab}$	84.01 <sup>a</sup>	83.86 <sup>a</sup>	0.91	0.007
Threonine	$74.14^{b}$	$73.24^{b}$	$76.54^{a}$	$76.94^{a}$	77.17 <sup>a</sup>	1.54	< 0.001
Valine	80.53 <sup>bc</sup>	$78.48^{c}$	$83.18^{ab}$	84.80 <sup>a</sup>	84.60 <sup>a</sup>	1.18	0.002
Total	$79.32^{b}$	$77.90^{c}$	81.56 <sup>a</sup>	82.04 <sup>a</sup>	81.52 <sup>a</sup>	1.21	< 0.001
Non-essential amino acids							
Alanine	$73.78^{b}$	$74.44^{\rm ab}$	$75.84^{ab}$	76.66 <sup>a</sup>	76.71 <sup>a</sup>	0.73	0.026
Aspartic acid	$77.21^{b}$	$76.54^{b}$	78.91 <sup>a</sup>	$79.36^{a}$	79.69 <sup>a</sup>	1.57	0.001
Cysteine	$74.75^{b}$	$76.72^{b}$	$83.48^{a}$	83.88 <sup>a</sup>	$78.20^{ab}$	1.90	0.005
Glutamic acid	78.61 <sup>b</sup>	$77.36^{b}$	80.90 <sup>a</sup>	81.15 <sup>a</sup>	81.70 <sup>a</sup>	0.62	< 0.001
Glycine	$69.78^{b}$	$71.30^{b}$	$73.64^{a}$	$74.24^{a}$	$73.60^{a}$	0.56	< 0.001
Proline	$79.61^{ab}$	$79.25^{b}$	80.19 <sup>a</sup>	80.37 <sup>a</sup>	80.42 <sup>a</sup>	1.31	0.045
Serine	$80.62^{ab}$	79.31 <sup>b</sup>	81.76 <sup>a</sup>	82.51 <sup>a</sup>	82.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.73	0.030
Tyrosine	$82.44^{b}$	$82.27^{b}$	87.17 <sup>a</sup>	88.71 <sup>a</sup>	87.95 <sup>a</sup>	0.81	< 0.001
Total	$77.10^{b}$	77.15 <sup>b</sup>	$80.24^{a}$	80.86 <sup>a</sup>	$80.04^{a}$	1.40	< 0.001
Total amino acids	$77.83^{b}$	75.53 <sup>c</sup>	80.90 <sup>a</sup>	81.45 <sup>a</sup>	80.78 <sup>a</sup>	1.23	< 0.001

 $<sup>^{</sup>a-c}$ Means in the same row with different superscripts differ (P < 0.05)

AID of essential amino acids, the AID of histidine, lysine and threonine was greater in pigs fed FSMB, FSMC, and ETSM diets than those fed SBM and FSMA diets (P < 0.05). The AID of isoleucine was greater in pigs fed FSMB and ETSM diets than in pigs fed SBM and FSMA diets. The AID of arginine, phenylalanine and valine in pigs fed the FSMC diet was greater than in pigs fed SBM and FSMA diets. The AID of lysine and total amino acids in FSMA pigs was lower (P < 0.05) compared with values from pigs fed FSMC and ETSM diets. With respect to non-essential amino acids the AID of aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glycine, tyrosine and

total amino acids was the same in pigs fed FSMB, FSMC, ETSM diets and greater (P < 0.05) than in pigs fed SBM and FSMA diets.

## SID

The SID of crude protein in pigs fed FSMB, FSMC, and ETSM diets was greater (P < 0.05) than in pigs fed SBM and FSMA diets (Table 6). The SID of all essential amino acids in pigs fed the FSMC diet was greater than in pigs fed the FSMA diet and was the same as in pigs fed the FSMB and

<sup>\*</sup>Data are least square means of six observations

Table 6. Standardised ileal digestibility (SID) of crude protein and amino acids in soybean meal (SBM), fermented soybean meal A (FSMA), fermented soybean meal B (FSMB), fermented soybean meal C (FSMC), and enzymetreated soybean meal (ETSM) by weanling pigs\*

Item (%)	Diet						D 1
	SBM	FSMA	FSMB	FSMC	ETSM	SEM	<i>P</i> -value
Crude protein	84.32 <sup>b</sup>	81.13 <sup>c</sup>	88.11ª	89.25ª	88.87ª	0.87	<.0001
Essential amino acids							
Arginine	$85.00^{ab}$	83.39 <sup>b</sup>	$87.19^{ab}$	87.84ª	$84.06^{ab}$	1.30	0.041
Histidine	84.19 <sup>a</sup>	$82.28^{b}$	85.61 <sup>a</sup>	85.12 <sup>a</sup>	84.52 <sup>a</sup>	0.57	0.003
Isoleucine	$84.23^{bc}$	83.63 <sup>c</sup>	86.75 <sup>a</sup>	86.78 <sup>a</sup>	86.31 <sup>ab</sup>	1.74	0.010
Leucine	$83.45^{ab}$	81.23 <sup>b</sup>	84.17 <sup>a</sup>	84.27 <sup>a</sup>	83.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.78	0.040
Lysine	80.53 <sup>b</sup>	78.65 <sup>c</sup>	82.21 <sup>a</sup>	$82.49^{a}$	82.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.42	< 0.001
Methionine	$87.02^{ab}$	$85.40^{b}$	88.65 <sup>a</sup>	89.18 <sup>a</sup>	88.75 <sup>a</sup>	0.74	0.007
Phenylalanine	$84.37^{bc}$	82.88 <sup>c</sup>	86.11 <sup>ab</sup>	86.91 <sup>a</sup>	86.55 <sup>ab</sup>	1.78	0.004
Threonine	80.83 <sup>ab</sup>	$79.08^{b}$	82.62a	83.08 <sup>a</sup>	83.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.97	0.026
Valine	$85.76^{ab}$	$84.55^{b}$	88.83 <sup>a</sup>	89.10 <sup>a</sup>	89.26ª	1.24	0.033
Total	83.93 <sup>b</sup>	$82.34^{\rm c}$	85.79 <sup>a</sup>	86.09 <sup>a</sup>	85.38 <sup>a</sup>	0.27	< 0.001
Non-essential amino acids							
Alanine	$81.87^{\rm b}$	83.78 <sup>ab</sup>	85.12 <sup>a</sup>	85.51 <sup>a</sup>	85.68 <sup>a</sup>	0.75	0.006
Aspartic acid	$81.17^{b}$	80.99 <sup>b</sup>	82.82a	83.16 <sup>a</sup>	83.52ª	1.48	0.001
Cysteine	83.08 <sup>b</sup>	84.01 <sup>b</sup>	89.74ª	90.20 <sup>a</sup>	$85.10^{b}$	1.60	0.008
Glutamic acid	$83.00^{b}$	$82.50^{b}$	85.14 <sup>a</sup>	85.28 <sup>a</sup>	85.92 <sup>a</sup>	0.71	0.006
Glycine	83.76 <sup>b</sup>	$84.27^{b}$	87.91 <sup>a</sup>	87.84ª	87.36 <sup>a</sup>	0.67	< 0.001
Proline	85.77 <sup>ab</sup>	84.76 <sup>b</sup>	86.56 <sup>a</sup>	86.73 <sup>a</sup>	87.29 <sup>a</sup>	1.49	0.011
Serine	$86.74^{ab}$	84.91 <sup>b</sup>	87.50 <sup>a</sup>	88.15 <sup>a</sup>	87.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.69	0.020
Tyrosine	$87.49^{b}$	86.33 <sup>b</sup>	91.45ª	$92.79^{a}$	92.32ª	1.74	< 0.001
Total	84.11 <sup>b</sup>	$83.94^{b}$	87.03 <sup>a</sup>	87.46 <sup>a</sup>	86.88ª	2.41	< 0.001
Total amino acids	$83.15^{b}$	80.03 <sup>c</sup>	86.41 <sup>a</sup>	86.77 <sup>a</sup>	86.13 <sup>a</sup>	1.60	< 0.001

 $<sup>^{</sup>a-c}$ Means in the same row with different superscripts differ (P < 0.05)

ETSM diets. With respect to non-essential amino acids, the SID of all amino acids except for alanine, proline and serine was greatest in pigs fed FSMB, FSMC and ETSM treatments.

# **DISCUSSION**

In the current study, FSM and ETSM diets had higher dry matter, crude protein, crude fibre, Ca and P than the SBM diet. Essential amino acids, crude protein, ether extract, crude fibre, Ca and P of soybean meal were similar to our previous study (Wang et al. 2011; Upadhaya and Kim 2015). The SBM used in our experiment was obtained from a commercial source, and the chemical characteristics of SBM may vary among sources (Grieshop

et al. 2003). The amino acids composition of FSM was similar to that reported by Yun et al. (2005). Fermented soybean meal contained more dry matter, crude protein, Ca, and P than SBM, which is consistent with observations from other experiments (Hong et al. 2004; Feng et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2014). However, we observed that the concentration of crude fibre was greater and the concentration of ether extract was less in FSM than in SBM. Our results are inconsistent with previous data (Zamora and Veum 1988; Feng et al. 2007; Yoo et al. 2009) which may be due to differing particle sizes and KOH solubility (Parsons et al. 1991). The crude protein and amino acids composition of ETSM are similar to the values reported by Zhu et al. (1998) and Cervantes-Pahm and Stein (2010). The concentration of lysine calculated as a percentage of crude

<sup>\*</sup>Data are least square means of six observations

protein was less in FSM and ETSM than in SBM. A possible reason for this observation may be that these meals were heat-damaged during drying; heat damage may result in Maillard reactions that will destroy some of the lysine in the products (Stein et al. 2009).

In our study, the ATTD of dry matter and nitrogen was significantly higher in FSMC and ETSM diets than in the SBM diet, which was similar to that reported by Min et al. (2009). Zhu et al. (1998) reported that the net availability and biological value of protein was improved when enzyme-treated soy protein was added to the pigs' diet. In addition, Min et al. (2004) suggested that diets that contained fermented soy protein or enzyme-treated soy protein during Phase 2 in the weaned pigs increased dry matter and nitrogen digestibility. These consistent results can be attributed to the improved quality of treated soybean meal and may be due to the reduced amount of trypsin inhibitors following the fermentation and enzymatic processing of soybean meal. This, in turn, might be correlated with improved nitrogen digestibility (Min et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2013). The ATTD of essential and non-essential amino acids of FSMB and FSMC diets was similar to that in the ETSM diet. The ATTD of essential amino acids, except for valine in the ETSM diet, was superior compared to the SBM diet. The findings in the present study confirmed that FSMC and ETSM diets could significantly improve essential amino acid digestibility leading to a higher average daily growth than in basal group that we and others have reported previously (Zhu et al. 1998; Yun et al. 2005; Yoo et al. 2009; Upadhaya and Kim 2015). Therefore, we conclude that soybean meal fermented by Bacillus subtilis with 60 and 70% KOH solubility together with ETSM in the weanling pigs diet may improve the ATTD of dry matter, nitrogen, energy and most essential and non-essential amino acids.

Fermented foods are commonly consumed by humans in Southeast Asian countries but food fermentation and enzyme treatment remains limited with regard to its application in the animal production sector. Fermentation or enzymatic treatment of SBM to remove anti-nutritional factors and to make SBM more tolerable to young pigs creates opportunities for the increased use of SBM in weanling diets. Removal of oligosaccharides in fermented soybean (FSMA, FSMB, FSMC) and the low concentrations of oligosaccharides in ETSM may be an advantage because the diarrhoea

associated with feeding SBM to weanling pigs may be caused by the oligosaccharides present in these diets (Liener 2000; Liying et al. 2003). Thus, the absence of oligosaccharides and low concentration of trypsin inhibitors in FSMA, FSMB and FSMC (Cervantes-Pahm and Stein 2010), were expected to contribute to an increased digestibility of amino acids in fermented soybean meal when fed to weanling pigs. Soy beans that were fermented showed increased digestibility and the absorbability was much higher than in cooked and autoclaved beans (Kiers et al. 2000). Hong et al. (2004) showed that FSMA, FSMB and FSMC contained greater amounts of small peptides than SBM and enzymetreated soybean meal (ETSM).

The rate and extent of absorption of small peptides is greater in fermented soybean meal (FSMA, FSMB, FSMC) than for free amino acids (Gilbert et al. 2008), and the increased concentration of small peptides is expected to improve digestibility. Fermentation or enzymatic treatment of SBM leads to high concentrations of the antigenic proteins glycinin and β-conglycinin, which may reduce villus height in the small intestine and decrease nitrogen digestibility in young pigs fed SBM (Li et al. 1991). Purified glycinin and β-conglycinin also reduce average daily gain and growth efficiency in young pigs, but because β-conglycinin is less digestible than glycinin, the reduction in pig performance is greater in pigs fed  $\beta$ -conglycinin than glycinin (Zhao et al. 2008). It has been noted that the use of Bacillus subtilis-fermented soybeans was likely to increase feed utilisation because of the extensive protein hydrolysis, which produces readily available free amino acids and peptides (Steinkraus 1996; Sarkar et al. 1997; Upadhaya and Kim 2015). In our experiments, we observed that the SID of crude protein essential and non-essential amino acids was improved in FSMB, FSMC and ETSM diets compared to the SBM diet. Smiricky et al. (2002) and Swiech et al. (2004) reported that the presence of trypsin inhibitors and oligosaccharides in soybean reduces amino acids digestibility. Previously, Yang et al. (2007) reported that, with the exception of arginine, isoleucine, lysine, glycine, and proline, there are no differences in the SID of amino acids between fermented soybean meal (FSMA, FSMB, FSMC) and SBM. It has, however, also been reported that the AID of most amino acids in fermented soybean meal (FSMA, FSMB, FSMC) and in ETSM is greater than in SBM (Yun et al. 2005). The reason

for the inconsistent results may lie in the different doses, bacteria, KOH solubility and enzymes used in each experiment. The SID of amino acids in FSMB, FSMC and ETSM was greatest among all soybean meals for all essential amino acids. The values for the AID of amino acids in FSMB, FSMC and ETSM diets that were measured in this experiment were also greater than for conventional SBM. We observed that the overall SID of crude protein of SBM, FSMA, ETSM and FSMC was 84.32, 81.13, 88.87 and 89.25%, respectively, which was similar to the study conducted by Cervantes-Pahm and Stein (2010). High protein digestibility ensures that the protein's content of essential amino acids is readily bioavailable (Urbaityte et al. 2009). Of equal importance is the fact that the volume of undigested protein transported to the colon is low, minimising opportunities for unfavourable gut microbes to develop (Upadhaya and Kim 2015). Thus, we can conclude that the AID and SID of amino acids may improve through supplementation of Bacillus subtilis-fermented soybean meal and enzyme-treated soybean meal in the diets of weanling pigs.

Results from the present experiments demonstrate that the AID, SID and ATTD of most amino acids in FSMB, FSMC and ETSM diets are similar to each other and greater than in the SBM diet. The overall protein digestibility of FSMB, FSMC and ETSM diets ensures the protein content of essential amino acids is readily bioavailable in weanling pigs. The ATTD of dry matter and nitrogen was higher in FSMB, FSMC and ETSM diets and the AID of dry matter, nitrogen and energy was higher in FSMB, FSMC and ETSM diets than in other diets.

#### REFERENCES

- AOAC (2007): Official Methods of Analysis. 18<sup>th</sup> edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemistry, Gaithersburg. Araba M, Dale NM (1990): Evaluation of protein solubility as an indicator of over processing of soybean meal. Poultry Science 69, 76–83.
- Armstrong DG, Mitchell HH (1955): Protein nutrition and the utilization of dietary protein at different levels of intake by growing swine. Journal of Animal Science 14, 49–68.
- Burnham LL, Kim IH, Kang JO, Rhee HW, Hancock JD (2000): Effects of sodium sulphate and extrusion on the nutritional value of soybean products for nursery pigs. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 14, 49–68.

- Cervantes-Pahm SK, Stein HH (2010): Ileal digestibility of amino acids in conventional, fermented, and enzymetreated soybean meal and in soy protein isolate, fish meal, and casein fed to weanling pigs. Journal of Animal Science 88, 2674–2683.
- Duncan DB (1955): Multiple ranges and multiple F test. Biometrics 11, 1–42.
- Feng J, Liu X, Xu ZR, Lu YP, Liu YY (2007): The effect of Aspergillus oryzae 3.042 fermented soybean meal on growth performance, digestibility of dietary components and activities of intestinal enzymes in weaned piglets. Animal Feed Science and Technology 134, 295–303.
- Fenton TW, Fenton M (1979): An improved procedure for the determination of chromic oxide in feed and faeces. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 59, 631–634.
- Gilbert ER, Wong Jr EA, Webb KE (2008): Board-invited review: Peptide absorption and utilization: Implications for animal nutrition and health. Journal of Animal Science 86, 2135–2155.
- Grieshop CM, Kadzere CT, Clapper GM, Flickinger EA, Bauer LL, Frazier Jr RL, Fahey GC (2003): Chemical and nutritional characteristics of United States soybeans and soybean meals. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 51, 7684–7691.
- Hancock JD, Lewis AJ, Peo ER (1989): Effects of ethanol extraction on the utilization of soybean protein by growing rats. Nutrition Reports International 39, 813–821.
- Hancock JD, Peo ER, Lewis AJ, Crenshaw JD (1990): Effects of ethanol extraction and duration of heat treatment of soybean flakes on the utilization of soybean protein by growing rats and pigs. Journal of Animal Science 68, 3233–3243.
- Hong KJ, Lee CH, Kim SW (2004): Aspergillus oryzae GB-107 fermentation improves nutritional quality of food soybeans and feed soybean meals. Journal of Medicinal Food 7, 430–435.
- Jiang HQ, Gonh LM, Ma X, He YH, Li DF, Zhai HX (2006): Effect of stachyose supplementation on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and caecal fermentation characteristics in broilers. British Poultry Science 47, 516–522
- Jones DB, Li DF, Nelssen JL, Hancock JD (1989): Effect of replacing milk products with a soybean protein isolate milk replacer in the diet for nursery pigs. Kansas State University, Swine Day Reports, 54–60.
- Kiers JL, Van Laeken AEA, Rombouts FM, Nout MJR (2000): In vitro digestibility of Bacillus fermented soya bean. International Journal of Food Microbiology 60, 163–169.
- Li DF, Nelssen JL, Reddy PG, Blecha F, Klemm R, Giesting DW, Hancock JD, Allee GL, Goodband RD (1991): Measuring suitability of soybean products for early-weaned

- pigs with immunological criteria. Journal of Animal Science 69, 3299–3307.
- Li S, Sauer WC, Hardin RT (1994): Effects of fiber on amino acid digestibility in young pigs. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 74, 327–333.
- Li DF, Qiao SY, Jiang JY, Zhou HJ, Li JS, Thacker PA (2003): Effects of moist extruded full-fat soybeans on gut morphology and mucosal cell turnover time of weanling pigs. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 16, 63–69.
- Liener IE (2000): Non-nutritive factors and bioactive compounds in soy. In: Drackley JK (ed.): Soy in Animal Nutrition. Federation of Animal Science Societies, Savoy. 13–55.
- Liying Z, Li D, Qiao S, Johnson EW, Li B, Thacker PA, Han IK (2003): Effects of stachyose on performance, diarrhea incidence and intestinal bacteria in weanling pigs. Archive of Animal Nutrition 57, 1–10.
- Min BJ, Hong JW, Kwon OS, Lee WB, Kim YC, Kim IH, Cho WT, Kim JH (2004): The effects of feeding processed soy protein on the growth performance and apparent ileal digestibility in weanling pigs. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 17, 1271–1276.
- Min BJ, Cho JH, Kim YJ, Yoo JS, Lee CY, Park BC, Lee JH, Kim IH (2009): Effects of fermented soy protein on growth performance and blood protein contents in nursery pigs. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 22, 1038–1042.
- NRC National Research Council (2012): Nutrient Requirements of Swine. 11<sup>th</sup> edn. Academy Press, Washington. 420 pp.
- Parsons CM, Hashimoto K, Wedekind KJ, Baker DH (1991): Soybean protein solubility in potassium hydroxide: an in vitro test of in vivo protein quality. Journal of Animal Science 69, 2918–2924.
- Sarkar PK, Jones LJ, Craven GS, Somerset SM, Palmer C (1997): Amino acid profiles of kinema, a soybean fermented food. Food Chemistry 59, 69–75.
- SAS (2008): SAS User's Guide: Statistics. Version 9.2. SAS Institute Inc., Cary.
- Sauer WC, Jorgensen H, Berzins R (1983): A modified nylon bag technique for determining apparent digestibilities of protein in feedstuffs for pigs. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 63, 233–237.
- Smiricky MR, Grieshop CM, Albin DM, Wubben JE, Gabert VM, Fahey GC (2002): The influence of oligosaccharides on apparent and true ileal amino acid digestibilities and faecal consistency in growing pigs. Journal of Animal Science 80, 2433–2441.
- Stein HH, Seve B, Fuller MF, Moughan PJ, de Lange CFM (2007): Invited review: Amino acid bioavailability and digestibility in pig feed ingredients: Terminology and application. Journal of Animal Science 85, 172–180.

- Stein HH, Connot SP, Pedersen C (2009): Energy and nutrient digestibility in four sources of distillers dried grains with solubles produced from corn grown within a narrow geographical area and fed to growing pigs. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 22, 1016–1025.
- Steinkraus KH (ed.) (1996): Handbook of Indigenous Fermented Foods. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York. 1–94.
- Swiech E, Buraczewska L, Taciak M (2004): The effect of trypsin inhibitor level in soy products on in vitro and in vivo (piglets and rats) protein and amino acid digestibility. In: Muzquiz MGD, Hill C, Cuadrado MM, Pedrosa, Burbano C (eds): Recent Advances of Research in Antinutritional Factors in Legume seeds and oilseeds. Wageningen Academic Publishers: Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop on Antinutritional Factors in Legume Seeds and Oilseeds. Wageningen Academic Publishers. 247–250.
- Upadhaya SD, Kim IH (2015): Ileal digestibility of nutrients and amino acids in unfermented, fermented soybean meal and canola meal for weaning pigs. Animal Science Journal 86, 408–414.
- Urbaityte R, Mosenthin R, Eklund M (2009): The concept of standardized ileal amino acid digestibilities: principles and application in feed ingredients for piglets. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 22, 1209–1223.
- Wang JP, Hong SM, Yan L, Cho JH, Lee HS, Kim IH (2011): The evaluation of soybean meals from 3 major soybean-producing countries on productive performance and feeding value of pig diets. Journal of Animal Science 89, 2768–2773.
- Wang Y, Lu WQ, Li DF, Liu XT, Wang HL, Niu S, Piao XS (2014): Energy and ileal digestible amino acid concentrations for growing pigs and performance of weanling pigs fed fermented or conventional soybean meal. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 27, 706–716.
- Yan L, Wang JP, Kim IH (2011): Effects of different fermented soy protein and apparent ileal digestible lysine levels on weaning pigs fed fermented soy proteinamended diets. Animal Science Journal 83, 403–410.
- Yang YX, Kim YG, Lohakare JD, Yun JH, Lee JK, Kwon MS, Park JI, Choi JY, Chae BJ (2007): Comparative efficacy of different soy protein sources on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and intestinal morphology in weaned pigs. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 20, 775–783.
- Yoo JS, Jang HD, Cho JH, Lee JH, Kim IH (2009): Effects of fermented soy protein on nitrogen balance and apparent faecal and ileal digestibility in weaned pigs. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 22, 1167–1173.
- Yun JH, Kwon IK, Lohakare JD, Choi JY, Yong JS, Zheng J, Cho WT, Chae NJ (2005): Comparative efficacy of plant

and animal protein sources on the growth performance, nutrient digestibility, morphology, and caecal microbiology of early weaned pigs. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 18, 1285–1293.

Zamora RG, Veum TL (1988): Nutritive value of whole soybeans fermented with Aspergillus oryzae or Rhizopus oligosporus as evaluated by neonatal pigs. Journal of Nutrition 118, 438–444.

Zhang HY, Yi JQ, Piao XS, Li PF, Zeng ZK, Wang D, Liu L, Wang GQ, Han X (2013): The meatbolizable energy value, standardized ileal digestibility of amino acids in soybean meal, soy protein concentrate and fermented soybean meal and the application of these products in early-

weaned piglets. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 26, 691–699.

Zhao Y, Qin G, Sun Z, Zhang X, Bao N, Wang T, Zhang B, Zhu D, Sun L (2008): Disappearance of immunoreactive glycinin and β-conglycinin in the digestive tract of piglets. Archives of Animal Nutrition 62, 322–330.

Zhu X, Li D, Qiao S, Xiao C, Qiao Q, Ji C (1998): Evaluation of HP 300 soybean protein in starter pig diets. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science 11, 201–207.

Received: 2014–03–12 Accepted after corrections: 2016–09–24

#### Corresponding Author:

In Ho Kim, Dankook University, Department of Animal Resource and Science 29, Anseodong, Cheonan, Choongnam 330-714, Republic of Korea

E-mail: inhokim@dankook.ac.kr